INDEX

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Service of Process	2
3.	Filing of Returns of Service	3
4.	The Short Form of Summons	3
5.	Mora Interest	4
6.	Bank Overdraft Interest	5
7.	Confession to Judgment	5
8.	Application Procedure	5
9.	Opposed Applications	8
10.	Urgent Applications	12
11.	Practice in regard to so-called "Friendly" Sequestrations	13
12.	Service of and Extension of the Rule Nisi in Provisional Sequestration	
	and Liquidation Applications	15
13.	Divorce Custody and Other Matrimonial Cases	15
14.	Marriage Certificates	16
15.	Divorce Settlement Agreements	16
16.	Variation of Custody Orders	17
17.	Application for a Change in the Matrimonial Regime	18
18.	Curators ad Litem	18
19.	Applications to Compel Delivery of Further Particulars	18
20.	Service on the Registrar of Deeds in Applications for the Removal of	
	Title Deed Restrictions	19
21.	Expedited Hearing	19
22.	Separation of Issues in terms of Rule 33(4)	22
23.	Bail Appeals	23
24.	Applications for Striking-off of Practitioners in Pietermaritzburg	23
25.	Applications for Default Judgment in Actions for Damages	24
26.	Claims in which immovable property should be declared executable	24
27.	Admiralty arrest warrants in terms of Rule 4(3)	24
28.	Action in terms of National Credit Act No. 34 of 2005	25
29.	Urgent appointments of provisional liquidators in winding-up	
	applications	. 26

30.	Social Assistance Grants	26
31.	Effort to reduce the backlog in all trials including RAF matters	30
Guid	lelines in Respect of Practice Directive 31	32
32.		33
33.	Applications for Leave to Appeal against a Refusal of a Petition	33
<u>Civil</u>	and Criminal Appeals to full Courts	34
34.	Preparation of Court Papers in All Matters	37
35.	A Single High Court for the entire Country	38

PRACTICE MANUAL OF THE KZN DIVISION OF THE HIGH COURT

1. Introduction

This is an attempt to consolidate into one document the rules of practice of this Division. Much of it will be repetition of what has gone before. Judges President in the past have issued practice directives and where they are still applicable these will simply be incorporated herein. Where we have felt it necessary to modify or even change a rule of practice we have indicated this in the text. Changes have taken place since some of these past directives. One that comes to mind is the Rule of Court which permits the registrar to grant default judgment in respect of liquidated claims. That has significantly reduced the number of cases on the daily motion court rolls. However the previous directives are still of application in regard to issues such as, for example, the sufficiency of allegations in a simple summons.

What is meant by the practice of the court? This deals essentially with the daily functioning of the courts. It sets forth how we in KZN do things. Obviously it does not seek to override the Rules of Court which of course have the force of law. Practice directions supplement the rules. They are intended to act as a ruling in advance, as it were, by all the judges of the Division as to how they expect things to be done and what is expected of practitioners.

Judges are however not bound by practice directives. While we obviously strive to achieve uniformity it must clearly be understood that these directives cannot fetter the exercise of a judge's discretion and in an appropriate case he/she may be persuaded to relax or change a practice of the court. We envisage that this will only arise in exceptional circumstances. If a judge does depart from a particular practice this will not be regarded as a modification of the practice. Changes can only come about if this is done with the <u>authority of the Judge President in consultation with the other judges of the Division</u>.

¹ See Rule 31(5)

2. Service of Process²

2.1. On Company or Corporation³

Where service is effected by affixing the process to the principal door at the registered office of a company the Sheriff must state in his return that he ascertained that there was a board at the office indicating that this was indeed the registered office of the company. In the absence of such indication practitioners must present to the court or the registrar the form CM22 issued by the registrar of companies to prove the efficacy of the service.⁴

2.2. Service at domicilium citandi et executandi ⁵

Apart from making the allegation that the address in question is the chosen domicilium practitioners are required to produce to the court or the registrar when service is proved a copy of the document wherein the defendant chose such domicilium. In many instances this document will probably form part of the application or action but there will be cases where a simple summons makes the bare allegation.⁶

Rule 4(10) makes it clear that the court has a discretion whether to accept service at a *domicilium* as good service. Whether such service will be accepted as good service will depend on the particular facts of each case. There is, however, no rule of practice to suggest that such service is ordinarily not good or effective service. In most case it will be regarded as good service.⁷

2.3. Where an application for default judgment is made six months after the date of service of the summons, it is both the practice of the registrar's office and the Court to require that a notice of set down be served on the defendant informing him/her that such default judgment will be sought on a given date and time⁸, such date and time being not less than five days from the date of the notice.

³ Rule 4(1)(a)vii

⁵ Rule 4(1) a(iv)

⁷ JP's memorandum 14/7/1982

² Rule 4

⁴ This a change to the existing practice.

⁶ This is a change to the existing practice.

3. Filing of Returns of Service9

Returns of service must be filed timeously. It is the duty of the attorney to ensure that the Sheriff's return of service (or where informal service has been effected, proof of such service) is in the judge's papers before they are sent to the judge's chambers. This also applies to newspaper tearsheets in cases where, for example, service has been effected by substituted service and where publication has been ordered in winding up proceedings. If for some reason, the return or other proof of service cannot be filed timeously then an explanation must be included in the judge's papers. In future, the papers will not be read in the absence of the return of proof of service or a satisfactory explanation for the absence of such documents.

4. The Short Form of Summons

Rule 17(2)(b) provides that where a claim is for a debt or liquidated demand the summons shall be as near as may be in accordance with form 9 of the first schedule. The following rules of practice apply in relation to the sufficiency of allegations in the summons.

- The court cannot have regard to returns of service to determine whether it has jurisdiction. The averments necessary to establish jurisdiction must be made in the summons. Adjournments will however be granted to effect the necessary amendments¹⁰, subject, of course, to questions of wasted costs which may arise.
- An allegation in a summons that a natural person is "of "a certain address, will be regarded as a sufficient allegation that that is his place of residence, but an allegation that a person is "care of" a certain residence will not.

-

⁸ New practice

⁹ JP's memorandum 14/7/1982

¹⁰ JPs memorandum 14/7/82

- An allegation that an artificial person is "of" a certain address will not be regarded as an allegation that that is its registered office or principal place of business.
- Where in actions other than divorce actions, the summons states that "the whole cause of action arose within the area of jurisdiction of this honourable court", that will be regarded as a sufficient allegation.
- The summons must make it clear whether the claim is for a debt or liquidated demand or a claim for damages and contains the allegations that the cases have established as being necessary.
- An allegation that a claim is for "the price of goods sold and delivered" will be regarded as a sufficient description of the cause of action. Likewise an allegation that the amount claimed is "in respect of goods sold and delivered" is sufficient.¹¹
- Where the cause of action is founded on a deed of suretyship it is necessary to set out the cause of action giving rise to the original debt. (It is not necessary to annex the suretyship agreement to a simple summons. In summary judgment proceedings it will be necessary to do so if the document is in fact a liquid document.

5. *Mora* Interest

A court making an order for the payment of interest can only decide if the rate is lawful at the date of judgment and make an order accordingly. Furthermore, interest at the rate laid down in Act No 55 of 1975 can only be ordered if there is no agreement as to the rate of interest.¹²

1 1

¹¹ JP's memorandum 15/12/86

¹² JP's memorandum 15/12/86

When *mora* interest is claimed on a dishonoured cheque, the date of presentment must be alleged in the summons; if this is not done, interest will run only from the date of service of the summons.

6. Bank Overdraft Interest

Where the agreement between banker and customer provides that interest will be paid at the "current overdraft rate" and there has been a change in the rate of interest since the date of issue of the summons an employee of the bank is required to put up a certificate setting out all relevant changes in the overdraft rate since the date of issue of summons as well as dates upon which such changes occurred.¹³

7. Confession to Judgment¹⁴

Where application is made through the registrar for the entry of judgment in terms of a confession, the party submitting same is required to depose to an affidavit which shall set forth all payments made subsequent to the execution of the confession and demonstrate how the capital and interest claimed is calculated. In addition such affidavit shall also very briefly set out the nature of the default that gave rise to the plaintiff's entitlement to lodge the confession¹⁵ and any reason for the delay in submitting the confession.

8. Application Procedure 16

8.1. Introduction

There are fundamentally three categories of Applications.

8.1.1. Ex parte applications, which are catered for in Rule 6(4)(a), read with form 2 of the first schedule. Here the applicant gives notice to the Registrar in what is termed "a short form of notice of

-

¹³ JP's memorandum 15/12/1986

¹⁴ Rule 31(1) (c)

¹⁵ This is a new practice directive although we are aware that some judges in the past have followed this procedure

In sequestration and winding up proceedings where the applicant relies on an act of insolvency or inability to pay debts and is able to produce documentary evidence of such inability - eg a letter or balance sheet, the application may be brought ex parte without notice. This is a practice of long standing in this division ¹⁷ In winding up proceedings an amendment to the Companies Act and the Insolvency Act¹⁸ requires inter alia that the applicant "must furnish the company or the debtor, whatever the case may be, with a copy of the application unless the court in the exercise of its discretion dispenses with this after being satisfied that it would be in the interests of the creditors and the debtor to do so." We do not consider that this amendment detracts from the aforesaid practice. The furnishing of the copy of the application is intended to take place informally. 19 It is envisaged that in the majority of cases the applicant will make out a case to dispense with the provision.

8.1.1.1. This Division adheres to the practice laid down in ex parte Three Sisters (Pty) Ltd 20 which is set forth as follows:21

> "Whatever a company's reason may be for wanting to be wound up in terms of s 344(a) of the Companies Act 61 of 1973, and irrespective of whether or not its liabilities exceed the value of its assets, creditors of the company have a very real interest in its continued existence or demise, and the court should ensure, in so far as it is able to, that they are not prejudiced. The most effective way of doing this is to require that creditors be given notice of the application, and at a

¹⁶ Rule 6

¹⁷ see Collective Investments (Pty Ltd v Brink 1978(2) SA 252N esp @ 254 and 255. See also JP's memorandum dated 15/12/1986.

¹⁸ Sub-s (4A) inserted in to both Acts by Act no 69 of 2002

¹⁹ see Sub-s (4A) (b) Act 69 of 2002

²⁰ 1986(1)SA 592 (D)

²¹ Headnote Three Sisters case *supra*

stage which would afford them the opportunity of voicing their objection to the grant of a provisional winding-up order, since even the grant of such an order has the potential of prejudicing them. Creditors need only be given informal notice (eg by pre-paid registered post) of the nature of the application and of the date of hearing, together with an intimation that the papers are available for inspection at the offices of the plaintiff's attorneys."

- 8.1.2. Interlocutory applications and other applications incidental to pending proceedings can be brought on notice supported by such affidavits as the case may require.²² Here the KZN practice is that a short form of notice of motion is also used.
- 8.1.3. Every application other than the above must be brought in terms of Rule 6(5)(a) using a notice of motion in accordance with Form 2(a) of the first schedule. KZN practitioners have over the years not adhered strictly to this rule and the judges of this Division encounter numerous instances where the short form of notice of motion is incorrectly used and applications are set down for hearing on short notice. The time periods and format of the long form of notice of motion can only be abridged or dispensed with altogether where the application is one of urgency and a proper case is made out therefor in the founding affidavit.²³ includes service of process. Service is effected by the sheriff.²⁴ So-called "informal service: by fax, post and the like will only be condoned in extremely urgent applications where a case is made out therefore in the founding affidavit. A failure to comply with the above may result in the application being struck off the roll.

²² Rule 6(11)

²³ Rule 6(12)(a) and (b); see *Republikeinse Publikasies (Edms) Bpk v Afrikaanse Pers Publikasies (Edms) Bpk* 1972 (1) SA 773 (A) at 782.

²⁴ See Rule 4(1)(a): "Service of any process of the court directed to the sheriff and subject to the provisions of paragraph (aA) any document initiating application proceedings shall be effected by the sheriff..."

9. Opposed Applications

Apart from opposed applications that are governed by Rule 6(5) insofar as the time periods for delivery of affidavits and the like are concerned, judges presiding in the motion court are very often asked to adjourn applications which have become opposed and to issue directions in regard to the filing of further affidavits. Generally speaking these would be applications brought before the court as a matter of urgency. Many judges of this Division have expressed concern about the frequent adjournments that are sought during process of exchanging affidavits prior to the application being placed on the opposed roll. The practice that will be followed henceforth is as follows:²⁵

9.1. Where the parties agree to the dates for exchanging of affidavits, the judge shall issue such directions and then adjourn the case to a date to be arranged with the registrar. If a rule nisi is in force the rule will be extended to the date when the application is finally disposed of.

Where the parties do not agree the judge after hearing both parties shall issue the necessary directions.

If the judge is satisfied that the application ought to receive preference, he may direct the registrar to accord the matter such preference as she/he is able. If the applicant wishes to seek interim relief pending the opposed hearing, and the matter cannot be accommodated or placed (with due regard to the delivery of a Certificate of Urgency) on the ordinary motion court roll, representations shall be made to the senior civil judge on duty to give the necessary directions for an urgent hearing. Those representations shall, where possible, include the recommendations of the judge seized with the matter in motion court.

9.2. The registrar will not allocate a date for hearing on the opposed roll unless the applicant or his attorney certifies **in writing** that the application is ripe for hearing, that is to say, that all the affidavits have been delivered. A matter shall be deemed to be ripe for hearing where the applicant has not delivered

_

²⁵ New practice

- a replying affidavit within the time specified in Rule 6(5) or on the date agreed or directed by the court as the case may be.
- 9.3. Where the respondent fails to deliver an answering affidavit the applicant may reinstate the matter on the unopposed roll to move for the relief claimed on notice given to the registrar and the respondent before noon on the court day but one preceding the day upon which the same is to be heard.
- 9.4. The following practice direction is in force in regard to opposed motions both in Pietermaritzburg and Durban:²⁶
 - 9.4.1. The applicant, excipient or plaintiff in opposed motions, exceptions and provisional sentence proceedings shall not less than ten clear court days before the day of the hearing deliver concise heads of argument (which shall be no longer than five pages ("the short heads")) and not less than seven clear court days before the hearing the respondent or defendant shall do likewise. The heads should indicate the issues, the essence of the party's contention on each point and the authorities sought to be relied upon. The parties may deliver fuller, more comprehensive heads of argument provided these are delivered simultaneously with the short heads. Except in exceptional circumstances, and on good cause shown, the parties will not be permitted to deliver additional heads of argument.

The heads of argument shall be delivered under cover of a typed note indicating:

- a. the name and number of the matter;
- b. the nature of the relief sought;
- c. the issue or issues that require determination;
- d. the incidence of the onus of proof;
- e. a brief summary (not more than 100 words) of the facts that are common cause or not in dispute;

- f. whether any material dispute of fact exists and a list of such disputed facts;
- a list reflecting those parts of the papers, which in the g. opinion of counsel, are necessary for the determination of the matter;
- h. a brief summary (not more than 100 words) of the argument;
- i. a list of those authorities to which particular reference will be made:
- j. in appropriate cases the applicant, excipient or plaintiff must annex to the note a chronology table, duly cross-referenced, without argument;
- k. if the respondent or defendant disputes the correctness of the chronology table in a material respect, the respondent's or defendant's heads of argument must have annexe thereto the respondent's or defendant's version of the chronology table.
- 9.4.2. By no later than noon three court days before the day of hearing the applicant, excipient or plaintiff shall notify the registrar in writing whether the matter will be argued, and if not what alternative relief (for example postponement, referral to evidence, etc) will be sought, in which case the notification shall be accompanied by a draft setting out the Order to be sought.
- 9.4.3. Unless condonation is granted on good cause shown by way of written application, failure on the part of the applicant, excipient or plaintiff to comply with the provisions of paras 9.4.1. and 9.4.2. hereof will result in the matter being struck from the roll with an appropriate order as to costs; and failure on the part of the respondent or defendant to comply with the said provisions will result in the court making such order as it deems fit, including an appropriate order as to costs.

²⁶ Practice direction 1998(1) SA 365

- 9.4.4. If any of the aforesaid matters is of such a nature by reason of the volume of the record or the research involved or otherwise that the judge allocated to hear the matter would, in order to prepare for the hearing, reasonably need to receive the papers earlier than he or she would normally do, the applicant, excipient or plaintiff (as the case may be) shall notify the Registrar in writing to that effect not less than ten clear court days before the day of the hearing. Failure to do so could result in the matter not being heard on the allocated day. Practitioners are advised to use their own discretion in interpreting this sub-rule but in the ordinary course it ought to apply to all matters where the record exceeds approximately 200 pages (including annexures).
- 9.4.5. The papers in all opposed motions shall be secured in separate conveniently-sized and clearly identified volumes of approximately 100 pages each. Each volume shall be secured at the top left-hand corner in a manner that shall ensure that the volume will remain securely bound upon repeated opening and closing and that it will remain open without any manual or other restraint. Ring binders and lever-arch files are to be avoided if at all possible.
- 9.4.6. Counsel are reminded of the *dicta* in *Caterham Car Sales* & *Coachworks Ltd v Birkin Cars (Pty) Ltd and Another* 1998 (3) SA 938 (SCA) at 955 B-F. Harms JA said:
 - '[37] There also appears to be a misconception about the function and form of heads of argument. The Rules of this Court require the filing of main heads of argument. The operative words are 'main', 'heads' and 'argument'. 'Main' refers to the most important part of the argument. 'Heads' means 'points', not a dissertation. Lastly, 'argument' involves a process of reasoning which must be set out in the heads. A recital of the facts and quotations from authorities do not amount to argument. By way of a reminder I wish to quote from *Van der Westhuizen NO v United Democratic Front* 1989 (2) SA 242 (A) at 252B--G:

"There is a growing tendency in this Court for counsel to incorporate quotations from the evidence, from the Court *a quo's* judgment and from the authorities on which they rely, in their heads of argument. I have no doubt that these quotations are intended for the convenience of the Court but they seldom serve that purpose and usually only add to the Court's burden. What is more important is the effect which this practice has on the costs in civil cases. . . .

Superfluous matter should therefore be omitted and, although all quotations can obviously not be eliminated, they should be kept within reasonable bounds. Counsel will be well advised to bear in mind that Rule 8 of the Rules of this Court requires no more that the *main heads* of argument. . . . The heads abound with unnecessary quotations from the record and from the authorities. They reveal, moreover, another disturbing feature which is that the typing on many pages does not cover the full page. . . . Had the heads been properly drawn and typed I do not think more than 20 pages would have been required. The costs cannot be permitted to be increased in this manner and an order will therefore be made to ensure that the respondent does not become liable for more than what was reasonably necessary."

[38] Practitioners should note that a failure to give proper attention to the requirements of the practice note and the heads might result in the disallowance of part of their fees.'

- 9.4.7. Counsel's names and contact details, including cell phone numbers, must appear on the heads of argument.
- 9.5. This direction does not apply to Rule 43 proceedings.

10. Urgent Applications

- 10.1. Apart from a certificate of urgency (which practitioners are reminded is not a mere formality: in appropriate cases the signatories of such certificates may be ordered to pay costs de bonis propriis) which in specific terms records that the matter is of such a nature that relief has to be obtained forthwith and cannot await the ordinary motion court the following day, the following administrative requirements should be followed:
 - (a) As soon as an urgent application is in the pipeline, the registrar should be notified and an indication given as to when it is contemplated the application will be moved.
 - (b) This should be followed by a call every hour to keep the registrar and the duty judge apprised of the current position.
 - (c) If the urgent application falls away, the registrar should be told forthwith.

(d) If practitioners, in the absence of a duty registrar, go before a judge and do not obtain an order, they should immediately report this fact to the registrar.

10.2. In every urgent application (including the ordinary motion court) a draft order must be presented to the judge. If the draft is amended in chambers, practitioners must come to the assistance of the registrar's typist in order to ensure that the order is in a form where it can be issued forthwith.²⁷

10.3. Where a rule *nisi* together with an interim interdict or other interim relief is sought as a matter of urgency the rule of practice in force is stated as follows:

"It is not permissible to grant interim interdicts without notice to the respondent unless there is a real danger that the giving of notice will defeat the object of the interdict or it is wholly impracticable to give such notice. (It is not the practice of this Division to grant orders over the telephone save in very exceptional circumstances)"²⁸

11. Practice in regard to so-called "Friendly" Sequestrations

Practitioners are reminded that the judges of this Division adhere to the practice directive laid down by P.C. Colmbrinck J in *Mthimkhulu v Rampersad and Another (BOE Bank Ltd. Intervening Creditor)*²⁹. The judgment requires that such "friendly" sequestrations should at least comply with the following minimum requirements which are quoted in full from the judgment³⁰:

-

²⁷ JP's memorandum 29/1/2003

²⁸ JP's memorandum 15/12/1986

²⁹ [2000] 3 All SA 512

³⁰ Page 517

- "1. There must be sufficient proof of the applicant's *locus standi*. There must be facts establishing the relationship between the parties giving rise to the debt relied upon by the applicant. There must be sufficient proof of the debt in the form of a paid cheque, documentation evidencing withdrawal from a savings account or a deposit into the respondent's account at or about the time the respondent is said to have received the money. If the indebtedness arises from a written or partly written contract, a copy of the contract or the written portion must be put up, if from sale copies of invoices must be annexed.
- Reasons must be given for the fact that the applicant has no security for the debt. A court is naturally suspicious of an unsecured loan being made to a debtor at a time when he was obviously in dire financial straits.
- 4. Care must be taken to put a full and complete list of the respondent's assets and in particular and more importantly, to put up acceptable evidence upon which the court can determine not what their market value is prior to sequestration but what they will realise post-sequestration at a forced sale (see in this regard the remarks of Leveson J in *Ex parte : Steenkamp and related cases (supra)*³¹. Very often a value is put to household furniture and effects and second-hand motor vehicles which bear no relationship to their true value.
- 5. In the case of immovable property, I consider that it is insufficient to merely put up an affidavit by a valuer who expresses an opinion as to the value of the property. The valuer should state why he is qualified to make the valuation, what experience he has in valuing houses in the area and give details of comparable sales on which he relies for his value. In addition he must state what he considers the house will fetch on a sale by public auction.
- 6. In the case of urgent applications to stay the sale-in-execution of an immovable property, full reasons must be given why the application is brought at the last moment. In addition details must be given of attempts the debtor has made to sell the property by way of private treat.

- 7. Where there is a bondholder, notice of the application must be given to it.
- 8. Any application for the extension of a provisional order must be supported by an affidavit in which full and acceptable reasons for the extension are set out."

12. Service of and Extension of the Rule *Nisi* in Provisional Sequestration and Liquidation Applications

- 12.1. The general rule is that provisional sequestration orders are served personally on the respondent(s). Where the respondent happened to be present in court when the order was pronounced, it should nonetheless still be served on her/him because of the consequences which flow from such service as set out in the Insolvency Act.
- 12.2. Generally speaking the practice followed has been to allow one extension of the rule *nisi* in both sequestration and winding-up orders without furnishing any reason therefor. Where a subsequent extension is sought the party seeking same must lodge an affidavit to motivate the application.

13. Divorce Custody and Other Matrimonial Cases

13.1. Service of Summons:

Divorce being a matter of status personal service is required. This of course is always subject to the court's power to direct a form of substituted service.

A defendant is not permitted to waive service on the basis that he/she consents to the divorce. A judge does however have the power in his/her discretion to abridge the *dies induciae* which run after service has been effected and to allow an early set-down of the undefended action. This of course is on the footing that the defendant is aware that the matter is to be heard and consents thereto.

.

³¹ 1996 (3) SA 822 (W)

13.2. Where it appears at the hearing of an undefended divorce that service was effected more than five (5) months before the date of the hearing it is the practice to require that the notice of set down be served on the defendant alternatively that the plaintiff satisfy the court by other means that the defendant is aware that the case is to be heard on that dav.³²

14. **Marriage Certificates**

No hard and fast practice can be laid down in regard to whether a copy of a marriage certificate is acceptable. Some judges require production of the certificates while others are prepared to receive a copy which the plaintiff swears is a true copy of the original³³

15. Divorce Settlement Agreements

Unlike some other Divisions it is an established and long-standing practice that the entire agreement of settlement cannot be made an order of court. The principle has been clearly enunciated by Broome JP in *Mansell v Mansell*³⁴ as follows:

"For many years this court has set its face against the making of agreements orders of court merely on consent. We have frequently pointed out that the court is not a registry of obligations. persons enter into an agreement, the obligee's remedy is to sue on it, obtain judgment and execute. If the agreement is made an order of court the obligee's remedy is to execute merely. The only merit in making such an agreement an order of court is to cut out the necessity for instituting action and to enable the obligee to proceed direct to execution. When, therefore, the court is asked to make an agreement an order of court it must, in my opinion, look at the agreement and ask itself the question 'Is this the sort of agreement upon which the obligee (normally the plaintiff) can proceed direct to

 $^{^{32}}$ This is an old practice; however the 5 month provision is new. 33 See JP's memorandum 14/7/82 34 1953 (3) SA 716 AT 712B

execution?' If it is, it may well be proper for the court to make it an order. If it is not, the court would be stultifying itself in doing so. It is surely an elementary principle that every court should refrain from making orders which cannot be enforced. If the plaintiff asks the court for an order which cannot be enforced, that is a very good reason for refusing to grant his prayer. This principle appears to me to be so obvious that it is unnecessary to cite authority for it or to give examples of its operation."

Unconditional undertakings to pay maintenance, educational, medical costs and the like as well as custody and access provisions are made orders of court in terms of the practice. An undertaking to pay the costs of the action is also included. Mere contractual obligations are not. Where a defendant has undertaken to pay a sum of money (other than maintenance) by a future date it is undesirable to enter judgment for payment of that amount against such a defendant unless he/she specifically consents in the agreement to judgment being entered against him/her. Otherwise the plaintiff should be limited to the remedy in Rule 41(4).

Where a party to a divorce agrees that the other party shall be entitled to receive a share of his pension interest when that accrues and that the fund concerned makes an endorsement in its record to that effect, the court will only make the said agreement an order of court if it is satisfied that due and timeous notice has been given to the fund in question indicating that such order will be sought. The order of court must clearly and unambiguously identify the fund in question.

16. Variation of Custody Orders

Proceeding for the variation of a custody order are to be by way of action and not by way of application save where the variation is by consent or to give legal recognition to an existing de facto variation of long standing.³⁵

.

³⁵ JP's memorandum 15/12/1986-

17. Application for a Change in the Matrimonial Regime

This Division follows the Cape practice laid down in *ex parte Lourens et Uxor and Four Others*³⁶ which obviates the necessity of issuing a rule.³⁷

18. Curators ad Litem

Where a *curator ad litem* is to be appointed to represent the interests of minors in a dependants' claim the practice laid down in *ex parte Bloy*³⁸ and *ex parte Padachy*³⁹ is to be followed. This practice does not apply to applications under Rule 57 or applications where a *curator ad litem* is to be appointed to represent the interests of minor children in cases involving the interpretation of a will or trust.⁴⁰

19. Applications to Compel Delivery of Further Particulars 41

Only those particulars will be ordered which the court is satisfied are justified in terms of the Rules. It will no longer be permissible to avoid the question as to whether each request is so justified by arguing that all that is required is that the respondent "respond" to the request. If an order is granted for the furnishing of further particulars, the **form** of the order will still be that the respondent "respond" to the request (or, if only some of the particulars are justifiably sought, that the respondent respond to the questions asked in certain specified paragraphs). This form is considered correct since the defendant may, in some cases, conceivably turn out to be unable to furnish such particulars. The court must, however, be satisfied that each question is justified in terms of the Rules before ordering that the respondent respond to such question.

³⁶ 1986 (2) SA 291C

³⁷ JP's memorandum 15/12/1986

³⁸ 1984 (2) SA 410D

³⁹ 1984 (4) SA 325 D

⁴⁰ JP's memorandum dated 15/12/86. The provision in regard to wills and trusts is set forth in a practice note issued by the society of advocates Natal

⁴¹ JP's memorandum 14/7/1982

20. Service on the Registrar of Deeds in Applications for the Removal of Title Deed Restrictions

It is a requirement in these matters that the report of the registrar of deeds be placed before the court at the stage when an *ex parte* application for a rule *nisi* is moved in order that the court can be satisfied that the immovable properties concerned have been correctly described and that the title deed restrictions accord with the registrar's records.⁴²

21. Expedited Hearing

- 21.1 The registrar shall maintain a separate roll of cases, which shall be called 'The Expedited Roll', for hearing on an expedited basis.
- The registrar shall enrol matters on the expedited roll only when directed to do so by order of court or by a judge in chambers.
- 21.3 In all matters to which the provisions of:
 - 21.3.1 Uniform Rule 6(5)(d)(iii), or
 - 21.3.2 Uniform Rule 6(5)(g), or
 - 21.3.3 Uniform Rule 8, or
 - 21.3.4 Uniform Rule 32

apply and it appears to the court or the judge, as the case may be, that no substantial point of law will require determination, and/or that the whole or a substantial portion of the matter will be disposed of by evidence not lasting longer than one day, and that it is in the interests of justice to do so, the court or the judge may *mero motu*, or on the application of any of the parties on notice to the others, after considering the submissions of all the parties, direct that (referred to hereafter as "a direction" or "the direction"), subject to the provisions of this Rule, the matter be placed on the expedited roll.

-

⁴² This is a new practice.

- 21.4 In matters to which the provisions of sub-rule 3.4 of this rule apply, and unless the court or judge otherwise directs :
 - 21.4.1 in matters requiring the filing of a declaration, the plaintiff shall file a declaration within five days of the direction being made, failing which he shall be *ipso facto* barred;
 - 21.4.2. the defendant shall file a plea within five days of the direction being made or the declaration being filed, as the case may be, failing which he shall be *ipso facto* barred;
 - 21.4.3. the plaintiff shall comply with the provisions of Uniform Rule 35(1), mutatis mutandis, within five days thereafter and shall simultaneously index and paginate the court file and shall serve a copy of the index on the defendant;
 - 21.4.4. the defendant shall comply with the provisions of Uniform Rule 35(1), *mutatis mutandis*, within five days thereafter, save that the defendant shall not be entitled to rely upon any document at trial, which has not been so discovered, without the leave of the court;
 - 21.4.5. the parties shall hold a pre-trial conference and shall comply with the provisions of Uniform Rule 37, *mutatis mutandis*, not less than five days before the hearing of the matter.
- 21.5 In all other matters the plaintiff or applicant, as the case may be, shall within five days of the direction being made, index and paginate the court file and shall serve a copy of the index on the other party.\
- 21.6 Upon receipt of a notice requesting that the matter be placed on the expedited roll, which notice shall be served on the other party and which shall contain a certificate signed by a party or his attorney to the effect that the matters set out in sub-rule 4 (excluding sub-rules 4.4 and 4.5) or sub-rule 5 and that any additional directions made by the court or the judge have been complied with and/or attended to, the registrar shall place the

matter on the expedited roll. Where any additional directions have been made by the court or the judge these shall be set out with sufficient particularity in the certificate.

- 21.7 Where a party upon whose request a direction has been made fails to comply with any of the requirements of sub-rules 4 or 5, as the case may be, the direction shall lapse.
- 21.8 A direction may be obtained on application, which shall not be supported by an affidavit, on five days' notice to the other party. Such application shall only in exceptional or urgent circumstances be brought before a judge in chambers.
- 21.9 The matters placed on the expedited roll shall be set down for hearing by the registrar, on twenty days' notice to the plaintiff or applicant or party upon whose application the direction was obtained:-
 - 21.9.1 on a weekly roster of cases which shall be called on a Monday or first working day of a week as the case may be;
 - 21.9.2 on a continuous roll for each such weekly roster; and shall be heard, unless the presiding judge orders otherwise, in the order in which they were first placed on the expedited roll.
- 21.10 The registrar shall advise the plaintiff or applicant or party upon whose application the direction was obtained of the date of set down by telefacsimile transmission to a number specified in the notice referred to in sub-rule 6.
- 21.11 It shall be the responsibility of the plaintiff or applicant or party upon whose application the direction was obtained to serve a notice of set-down on the other party not les than ten days prior to the date of set-down and to file proof of such service not less than five days prior to the date of set-down.

- 21.12 Any matter struck-off or removed from the expedited roll or the weekly roster shall not, except on good cause shown on application, be re-enrolled on the expedited roll or the weekly roster. Nothing contained in this subrule 12 shall prevent a party, after such striking-off or removal, from enrolling the matter on the ordinary trial or motion roll.
- 21.13 Where any matter set down on a weekly roster has not been disposed of during that week, such matter shall enjoy such preference on a subsequent weekly roster as the presiding judge may direct.
- 21.14 Unless otherwise directed by the senior presiding judge from time to time, the registrar shall set down not more than fifteen matters on any weekly roster.
- 21.15 The senior presiding judge shall, from time to time, make available one or more judges to preside over the matters set down on the weekly roster.

22. Separation of Issues in terms of Rule 33(4)

Where a judge has given a ruling on an issue separated in terms of Rule 33(4), eg liability in a damages action, the matter will be regarded as partly heard before that judge. Should, however, the said judge for any reason not be available at the resumed hearing of the trial, and where the parties agree in writing, another judge shall be allocated to try the remaining issues in the action provided, however, that the second mentioned judge is satisfied that his/her decision does not depend on the credibility of any witness whose credibility was also in issue at the first hearing.⁴³

_

⁴³ JP's direction 10./12/.2002

23. **Bail Appeals**

These are heard by a single judge both in Pietermaritzburg and Durban. 44 While the judges of this Division recognize that these matters are inherently urgent, it is nonetheless necessary that appeals be put before the court in an orderly and structured manner. The following practice will henceforth be followed: 45

- 23.1 When an appeal is ripe for hearing, that is to say, that the record of the proceedings has been transcribed and certified as correct, the magistrate's reply to the notice of appeal has been obtained and the record has been paginated and indexed the appellant shall be entitled to lodge such record with the registrar and at the same time apply for a date of hearing.
- 23.2 The registrar shall allocate a date which is not less than five (5) court days from the date of the application. The registrar shall then place the matter before the senior civil judge who generally speaking, will allocate it to the judge presiding in the motion court on that day. Where however the record of the proceedings before the magistrate is voluminous and in the opinion of the registrar will require extensive reading and preparation, the registrar shall allocate a date not less than 10 court days from the date of the application.
- 23.3 The parties shall lodge brief and concise heads of argument at least two court days before the hearing of the appeal.

24. Applications for Striking-off of Practitioners in Pietermaritzburg

The practice in applications to strike the names of practitioners from the roll is for a single judge to grant the rule *nisi* even if it involves interim relief such as suspension from practice and the appointment of a *curator bonis*. On the return day the matter is dealt with by two judges opposed or unopposed.⁴⁶

⁴⁴ S. 65(1)b of Act 51 of 1977 new practice

⁴⁶ JP's memorandum 15/2/91

25. Applications for Default Judgment in Actions for Damages

This Division will henceforth follow the practice laid down in *Havenga v Parker*⁴⁷ which is to the following effect.

It is permissible in an application for default judgment in an action for damages to place before the Court the evidence of experts, such as for example medical practitioners, mechanics, valuers and others by way of affidavits, subject to the Court always retaining the power to require *viva voce* evidence, where it considers it necessary to call for further information or elucidation. The affidavits shall set out the qualifications of the experts and fully traverse his/her findings and opinions as well as the reasons therefor.

26. Claims in which immovable property should be declared executable

The summons initiating action in which a plaintiff claims relief that embraces an order declaring immovable property executable shall, with effect from 15 December 2005, inform the defendant as follows:

'The defendant's attention is drawn to section 26(1) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa which accords to everyone the right to have access to adequate housing. Should the defendant claim that the order for execution will infringe that right it is incumbent on the defendant to place information supporting that claim before the court.'

27. Admiralty arrest warrants in terms of Rule 4(3)

The attention of practitioners is drawn to the fact that Rule 2(1)(a) provides for a clear and concise statement of the nature of the claim. The certificate with regard to the warrant in terms of Rule 4(3) provides for a statement by the giver of the certificate that the contents of the certificate are true and correct to the best of the

_

⁴⁷ 1993 (3) SA 724 T

knowledge, information and belief of the signatory. The source of any such knowledge and information must be given.

As the matters to be certified include a statement that the claim is a maritime claim and that the property sought to be arrested is the property in respect of which the claim lies or, if the arrest is an associated ship arrest, that the ship is an associated ship which may be arrested, it is inherent in the nature of the certificate that the signatory should believe on proper grounds that there is a claim and also that it is enforceable by the arrest of the property to be arrested. It follows therefore, in the case of an associated ship arrest, that the certifier believes that the ship is an associated ship. It is therefore necessary that the summons should contain a statement of the **facts** upon which the claim is based and a statement of the **facts** on the basis of which it is stated that the ship is an associated ship.

It is desirable that the certificate should be signed by an attorney practising in the Court out of which the warrant is issued. In order to deal with cases of difficulty Rule 4(2)(b) provides that the Registrar may refer to a judge the question whether a warrant should be issued. In the vast majority of cases this is neither necessary, practicable nor desirable. It should be done in any case of difficulty either in regard to the claim or in regard to a question of association. In order to assist the Registrar the responsibility for identifying cases that should be referred to a judge will in the first instance rest on the attorney providing the certificate. When requesting a warrant, therefore, the attorney should submit in addition to the certificate required by Rule 4(3) a statement that the attorney knows of no circumstances making it desirable to refer the issue of the warrant to a judge. In the absence of such a statement, the Registrar will refer the matter to a judge under Rule 4(2)(b)

28. Action in terms of National Credit Act No. 34 of 2005

With effect from 1 August 2007, in any action brought in terms of the National Credit Act No. 34 of 2005, the summons must allege that there has been compliance with section 129 of the Act and a certificate must be attached to the summons indicating compliance therewith.

29. Urgent appointments of provisional liquidators in winding-up applications

A court hearing an application for the winding-up of a company or close corporation shall not make an order directing the Master to forthwith appoint a provisional liquidator unless there are sufficient factual allegations demonstrating that such a course is urgently required. An example would be allegations that there is an imminent danger that the assets of the company will be dissipated. Thus it is a matter of extreme urgency that a provisional liquidator should take charge immediately.

In future a failure to make the appropriate allegations in this regard will result in the Judge declining to make such orders.

30. Social Assistance Grants

I hereby direct that the following revised practice directive which forms part of the judgment of Wallis J in *P. N. Cele v The South African Social Security Agency and Others*, Case No 7940/2007, delivered on 28 May 2009, be substituted in place of the previous directive : -

- (a) Before there is any contemplation of litigation an appropriate letter of demand should be addressed either to SASSA or to the Minister of Social Development depending upon the nature of the claim. That letter of demand must set out the identity of the claimant and the basis of the claim and provide sufficient information to enable the claim to be investigated and dealt with appropriately.
- (b) If no satisfactory response follows from the letter of demand so that there is a need to contemplate litigation, before an applicant may issue application papers out of the Registrar's office in an application seeking relief relating to or arising from an application for a social assistance grant in terms of the Social Assistance Act 13 of 2004 or its predecessor they shall be obliged to deliver a notice to the State Attorney's office in KwaZulu-Natal marked for the

attention of the officer appointed by the State Attorney for that purpose and containing the following details:

- (i) the name and identify number of the applicant for relief;
- (ii) the type of grant to which it relates;
- (iii) where the grant relates to a person other than the applicant, as in the case of a child support grant, the name of that other person and their identity number and where a child support grant is sought in respect of a child who is not the child of the applicant a brief description of the relationship between the applicant and the child and the reason why the applicant claims a child support grant in respect of that child;
- (iv) where the applicant is seeking a disability grant the nature and anticipated duration of the disability;
- (v) the administrative centre where the application for the grant was lodged and where possible the date of the application as well as proof of that application in the form of the receipt issued to the applicant in terms of Regulation 8(3)(b) of the Regulations in GN R418 or failing that other information that will enable the State Attorney to identify the application in the records of SASSA;
- (vi) where the complaint is that an appeal has been lodged and no appeal convened or conducted a copy of the notice of appeal must be furnished;
- (vii) the nature of the applicant's complaint, such as that an application has been made and not processed; an application has been refused and the grounds of the refusal or an appeal (or both) are sought; or that a grant originally made has been withdrawn and the applicant seeks reasons for the withdrawal or the reinstatement of the grant (or both) or any other complaints;

(viii) a copy of the letter of demand addressed to SASSA or the Minister of Social Development as the case may be, with proof of delivery and a copy of any response;

(ix) the name and fax number of the attorney representing the applicant.

(c) A copy of this notice must at the same time be delivered to SASSA or the Minister of Social Development whichever is appropriate. In the case of claims regarding appeals both the initial letter of demand and the notice contemplated in paragraph (b) of this practice directive must be sent to the:

Pilot Regional Tribunal Office 20 Intersite Avenue Springfield Park Umgeni Business Park;

or to:

Private Bag X901 Pretoria 0001

and marked for the attention of the Independent Tribunal.

In the case of other applications concerning grants the initial letter of demand and the notice contemplated by paragraph (b) should be sent to SASSA at one of the following addresses:

Private Bag X14

Ashwood 3601;

or

3 Clubhouse Place Hillclimb Road Westmead 3601.

- (d) On receipt of the notice the State Attorney shall enter it into a register and allocate a reference number to it and thereafter in liaison with SASSA, or the Independent Tribunal in the case of complaints about appeals, endeavour to respond to and resolve the complaint. If no response is forthcoming within one month of receipt of the notice in the case of a complaint against SASSA or two months in the case of a complaint against the Minister of Social Development in regard to an appeal, or the response is unsatisfactory the applicant may then commence legal proceedings. The notice and the response (if any) shall form part of the application papers and the Registrar will only issue the application papers if they are accompanied by a certificate signed by the applicant's attorney recording that there has been proper compliance with the practice directive and that there has either been no response or an inadequate response to the notice. Unless the application papers are accompanied by such a certificate, or a certificate of urgency in the case of an urgent application, the Registrar will not accept or issue the application.
- (e) In terms of the revised practice directive are to be circulated by the State Attorney to the interested parties identified in paragraph [37] of the judgment in *Cele*.
- (f) The State Attorney is required to furnish a report concerning the implementation of this practice directive to the Deputy Judge President in the first week of December 2009. That report must be accompanied by Mr Diplall's comments on the contents of the report. The report should deal specifically with the question whether the functioning of the Pilot Regional Tribunal Office is such that the need to furnish pre-litigation notices to the State Attorney can fall away. It shall also deal with the extent of any continuing backlog in the disposal of appeals. To this end it would be helpful for the report to incorporate the type of information that was embodied in Ms Maloka's affidavits concerning the functioning of the Independent Tribunal.

31. Effort to reduce the backlog in all trials including RAF matters

In an effort to reduce the backlog in all trials, including RAF matters, it is directed –

- That Uniform Rule 37(7), requiring minutes of the Rule 37 Conference to be filed with the Registrar not latter than 5 weeks prior to the trial date, shall be strictly enforced and non-co0mpliance shall automatically result in the matter being struck off the trial roll.
- 2. In all RAF trial matters the following shall apply:
 - (a) Every matter will be the subject of a Rule 37(8) conference and the matter shall be considered ripe for allocation of a date for the holding of such a conference upon receipt by the Registrar of a notice applying for a date of trial.
 - (b) A date for trial shall be allocated only upon the certification by a Judge that there has bee compliance with 2(a) above.
 - (c) The senior civil Judge shall allocate such Judges as may from time to time become available for the purpose of hearing conferences called under this practice directive.
 - (d) A party called to a conference under this directive will receive not less than six weeks' notice of the fact that the conference will be held, and a list of the dates for the holding of all such conferences will be included in the published trial rolls.
 - (e) Where quantum will be an issue during the trial of the matter
 - (i) A notice in terms of Rule 36(9)(a) shall be delivered not later than fifteen days before the date allocated for the conference (the date) and the summary contemplated in Rule 36(9)(b) shall be delivered not later than ten days prior to the date.

- (ii) The parties will thereafter deliver a further summary clearly and concisely setting out areas in which their respective experts agree as well as areas in which they disagree. Such a summary shall be delivered not later than two days prior to the date.
- (f) The provisions of Rules 37(4), 37(5), 37(6) and 37(7) shall apply *mutatis mutandis.*
- (g) At the Rule 37(8) conference the presiding Judge shall note on the court file whether the preparation and conduct of each of the parties is considered satisfactory or unsatisfactory, giving such reasons as the presiding Judge may in his or her sole discretion deem fit.
- (h) If the conduct of any party is marked as unsatisfactory then, should the matter ultimately come before the court, the party or parties against whose name an unsatisfactory note has been placed will be obliged to make submissions –
 - (i) as to why the provisions of Rule 37(9)(a) should not be invoked against that party or that party's legal representatives in respect of a special order as to costs.
 - (ii) in particular, as to why an order should not be made denying the party or the party's representatives the right to claim costs, and ordering the party or party's representatives to pay the wasted costs of the opposing party.
- (i) Where attorneys place themselves on record subsequent to such conference as may be convened under this directive, then that attorney shall, if that attorney wishes to be disassociated from an unsatisfactory mark, request re-allocation for the purposes of an additional conference.

Guidelines in Respect of Practice Directive 31

- 1. Effective 30 January 2015, all Rule 37(8) conferences in respect of Road Accident Fund matters will be held on a Friday at 9:30am .Upon review this will also be extended to include all matters.
- 2. There will be 50 matters on the roll, including adjourned matters.
- 3. Practitioners/ in person litigants are to ensure that:-
 - (a) The correct details of the matter is recorded in the Awaiting Trial Register, that is the case number, spelling of names, number of days applied for; attorneys details;
 - (b) The Court file is to be Indexed and Paginated on the Thursday of the week preceding the Set down date by no later than 12:00pm which is the current practice;
 - (c) They file their Rule 37(4) minutes 3 weeks before the Set down date. The Set down date must be indicated on the top right hand corner of the minutes or filing notice.

(N/B – matters will not be removed due to minutes not been filed – however filing of the minutes is encouraged to facilitate an efficient roll.)

- 4. Once the matter is on the roll, the Judge will give further direction at court as to how the matter will be dealt with further.
- 5. The Pre-Trial minutes must comply with Rule 37 (6) (a-k) inclusive of the Uniform Rules of Court.
- 6. If the matter is certified ready for trial, the parties involved must put up a certificate indicating compliance with Practice Directive 31 and the Pre-Trial minute as per paragraph 5 above.
- 7. If the pre- trial minute is not fully compliant with rule 37(6) (a-k) of the Uniform Rules of court, inclusive, the matter will be removed from the trial roll.
- 8. If there is a matter that is entered on the Awaiting trial Register and is ready to proceed, the Registrar can be approached to allocate a date on the pre- trial roll however there must be compliance with paragraphs 5 &6 above. The minute must be filed.

33. Applications for Leave to Appeal against a Refusal of a Petition

- 33.1. Where a petition to the Judge President for leave to appeal in terms of section 309 C of the Criminal Procedure Act, 51 of 1977 has been refused, the unsuccessful petitioner(s) desiring to appeal against such refusal to the Supreme Court of Appeal is required to obtain leave to do so.
- 33.2. The application for leave to appeal shall be delivered to the Registrar within 21 (twenty-one) days after the refusal of the petition.
- 33.3. Whenever possible, the application for leave to appeal shall be considered by the same judges who considered the petition and shall grant or refuse the application. Where one or more such judge is unavailable, an additional judge, or additional judges shall be designated by the Judge President to consider the application for leave to appeal and they shall grant or refuse the application.
- 33.4. Subject to 33.3 above, the provisions of sections 309 C (5) and (6) of the Criminal Procedure Act, 51 of 1977 shall, *mutatis mutandis*, apply to such applications for leave to appeal.

Civil and Criminal Appeals to full Courts

CIVIL APPEALS:

In addition to and subject to Rule 49, the following shall apply to all civil appeals to the Full Court:

- 1. Once a date has been allocated for the hearing of any civil appeal, the parties may not agree to postpone the appeal without the leave of the Judge President, the Deputy Judge President (in those instances where the appeal has not as yet been allocated to the judges concerned) or, where the appeal has been allocated, the Judges to whom the appeal has been allocated for hearing.
- In all civil appeals, the appellant's heads of argument must be delivered not later than 30 days before the appeal is heard and the respondent's heads of argument must be delivered not later than 15 days before the appeal is heard. Supplementary heads of argument will only be accepted with the leave of the judges presiding.
- If Counsel intend to rely on authority not referred to in their heads of argument, copies thereof should be available for the judges hearing the appeal and counsel for each other party.
- 4. In regard to the content of their heads of argument, counsel are reminded of the dicta in Caterham Car Sales & Coachworks Ltd v Birkin Cars (Pty) and Another 1998 (3) SA 938 (SCA) at 955 B-F. Harms JA said:
 - "[37] There also appears to be a misconception about the function and form of heads of argument. The Rules of this Court require the filing of main heads of argument. The operative words are "main", "heads" and "argument". "Main" refers to the most important part of the argument. "Heads" means "points", not a dissertation. Lastly, "argument" involves a process of reasoning which must be set out in the heads. A recital of the facts and quotations from authorities do not amount to argument. By way of a reminder I wish to quote from *Van der Westhuizen No v United Democratic Front* 1989 (2) SA 242 (A) at 252B-G:

"There is a growing tendency in this Court for Counsel to incorporate quotations from the evidence, from the Court a quo's judgement and from the authorities on which they rely, in their heads of argument. I have no doubt that these quotations are intended for the convenience of the Court but they seldom serve that purpose and usually only add to the Court's burden. What is more important is the effect which this practice has on the costs in civil cases Superfluous matter should therefore be omitted and, although all quotations can obviously not be eliminated, they should be kept within reasonable bounds. Counsel will be well advised to bear in mind that Rule 8 of the Rules of this Court requires no more that the main heads of argument The heads abound with unnecessary quotations from the record and from the authorities. They reveal, moreover, another disturbing feature which is that the typing on many pages does not cover the full pages Had the heads been properly drawn and typed I do not think more than 20 pages would have been required. The costs cannot be permitted to be increased in this manner and an order will therefore be made to ensure that the respondent does not become liable for more than what was reasonably necessary."

[38] Practitioners should note that a failure to give proper attention to the requirements of the practice note and the heads might result in the disallowance of part of their fees."

- 5. Counsel's names and contact details, including cell phone numbers, must appear on the heads of argument.
- 6. When allocating a date or the hearing of an appeal, the Judge President or the Deputy Judge President may direct that the parties deliver heads of argument earlier than provided for in paragraph 2 above.
- 7. Simultaneously with the filing of their heads of argument counsel shall file a practice notice. The practice note shall set out -
- 7.1 each issue that has to be determined in the appeal;
- 7.2 an extremely brief submission in respect of each such issue;
- 7.3 what portion of the record must be read.
- 8.1 In all civil appeals the record shall be securely bound in volumes of approximately 100 pages each. Each volume shall be so bound that upon being eased open it will be open without any manual or other restraint and upon being so opened and repeatedly closed the binding shall not fail. Each volume shall be consecutively paginated, contain a volume specific index, and have a cover sheet reflecting -
- 8.1.1 the case number;
- 8.1.2 the names of the parties:
- 8.1.3 the total number of volumes in the record:
- 8.1.4 the volume number of the particular volume;
- 8.1.5 the court appealed from;
- 8.1.6 the names, addresses and telephone numbers of the parties' legal representatives.
- 8.2 The first volume of the record shall also contain a consolidated index of the evidence, documents and exhibits. The index must identify descriptively each document and exhibit.
- 8.3 Unless it is essential for the determination of the appeal, and the parties agree thereto in writing, the record shall not contain -
- 8.3.1 the opening address to the court *a quo*;
- 8.3.2 argument at the conclusion of the application or trial;
- 8.3.3 discovery affidavits and notices in respect thereof;
- 8.3.4 identical duplications of any document contained in the record;
- 8.3.5 documents that were not proved or admitted in the court *a quo*.
- 8.4 If it will facilitate the hearing of the appeal, or if requested by the presiding judge in the appeal, the parties shall prepare a core bundle of documents relevant to the determination of the appeal. This bundle should be prepared in chronological sequence and must be paginated and indexed.
- 8.5 The pages in the record shall be numbered clearly and consecutively, and every tenth line on each page shall be numbered and the pagination used in the court *a quo* shall be retained where possible. All references in the record to exhibits, annexures, evidence etc shall be annotated to reflect the corresponding page number in the appeal record.

- 8.6 In the event of a party failing to comply with any of the aforegoing, the court may, *mero motu*, or on application of any party to the appeal, make a punitive costs order.
- 9. If the appellant decides to abandon or not to proceed with the appeal or the respondent decides not to oppose the appeal any longer, the registrar must be notified thereof immediately. The legal representative of the party who fails to notify the registrar as aforesaid may be called upon by the judges presiding to explain his/her failure. The judges presiding may take such steps against the legal representative as they regard appropriate.
- 10. Failure to file the heads of argument timeously will, as a general rule, only be condoned in exceptional circumstances. Error or oversight by counsel and legal representatives or the latters' employees will rarely be regarded as exceptional circumstances.

CRIMINAL APPEALS:

In addition to and subject to Rule 49A, the following shall apply to all criminal appeals to the Full Court:

- 11. The current practice with regard to setting down of criminal appeals shall continue to apply.
- 12. In all criminal appeals, the appellant's heads of argument must be delivered not later than 30 days before the appeal is heard and the respondent's heads of argument must be delivered not later than 15 days before the appeal is heard. Supplementary heads of argument will only be accepted with the leave of the judges presiding.
- 13. Items 3, 4, 5, 6, 8.1 (in introductory paragraph), 8.5 and 10 above shall, *mutatis mutandis*, apply in criminal appeals.

34. Preparation of Court Papers in All Matters

- 34.1 Subject to the provisions of Rule 62 of the Uniform Rules, in all matters the documents prepared for Court shall be:
 - 34.1.1 printed on one side of white A4 sized paper with a weight of not less than 80g/m²;
 - 34.1.2 printed using a uniform regular (ie. not italics) 12 point font in *Arial*, or *Times Roman* or *Times New Roman* with the main body of any paragraph thereof being double line-spaced;
- 34.2 All such documents shall be appropriately bound (by a staple or such other suitable device (papers clips or spring-clamps are not suitable devices)) at the top left-hand corner thereof (and in no other place) with an appropriate protective covering. Papers not bound in this manner may result in the matter not being heard on the allocated date. Attorneys are reminded of their duty to inspect all Court files before the rolls close to ensure that the papers are in order and that they comply with this and all other relevant Rules and Practice Directives.
- 34.3 When matters are enrolled for hearing (whether in chambers, for trial or for Motion (Chamber) Court) practitioners are to ensure that the original process (ie. not photostat or telefaxed copies) are placed in the Court file in good time. All surplus or additional copies, unless strictly necessary, are to be removed from the Court file. When preparing the Court Rolls for any Court the Registrar may not place any matter on the printed Roll in the absence of the original process. Exceptions shall be allowed for urgent matters and for exceptional cases.
- 34.4 If a document or documents attached to any affidavit or pleading, or included in a bundle of documents, is or are in manuscript or not readily legible, the party filing such document(s) shall ensure that legible typed copies of the document(s) are also attached to such affidavit or pleading or included in such bundle.

34.5 When preparing an Index care must be taken to provide an accurate description of each document appearing on such Index. It is unacceptable in an Index to describe a document, for example, simply as "Annexure A". The document itself MUST be described, eg: "Annexure B: Letter from X to Y dated xx July xxxx" or "Annexure C: Agreement of Lease dated xx June xxxx" and so forth.

35. A Single High Court for the entire Country

The Superior Courts Act, 10 of 2013 ("the Act") was promulgated on 12 August 2013. It came into operation on 23 August 2013 as proclaimed by Proclamation R36 of 2013 dated 22 August 2013.

A single High Court has been constituted for the entire country, thereby necessitating a change to all court documents. In that regard the following practice directive shall issue:

- In Pietermaritzburg all Court processes etc shall be headed:
 "IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
 KWAZULU-NATAL DIVISION, PIETERMARITZBURG"
- In Durban all Court processes etc shall be headed:
 "IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA KWAZULU-NATAL LOCAL DIVISION, DURBAN"